

From: "Mike Stoltzfus" mstoltzfus@Langan.com  
Reply-To: kklemow@wilkes.edu  
Date: Mon, 10 Mar 2003 15:32:55 -0500 (EST)  
To: pabiodiv@webmail.upb.pitt.edu  
Subject: RE: PABIODIV: ANF: more clearcutting, no significant road removal

Phil,

With only 0.5% of the original (pre-settlement) forest in the East left and considering that only 50% of that remaining is in public hands, it appears that a goal of protecting old growth forests is achievable without hindering the sustainable use of the remainder of public forest lands. No doubt there are considerable forest areas that are protected by private conservation organizations in which they may plan for old growth as they see fit. Public lands as directed by the government however must be managed in a multiple/sustainable use fashion. Indeed private landholders (such as yourself) apparently do attempt to marry the needs of development with forest preservation, why should the government be excluded from this option as well? Why didn't you deed restrict your entire forested parcel with the intent of creating an old growth stand? Obviously you needed to realize some minimal use of the land while providing as much conservation as was feasible for that multiple use.

If your opinion was that of the majority obviously things would be different however a realistic blend of conservation, management and multiple-use determined through scientific methods is the best public use of these lands. Please do not mix idealism with reality.

Michael L. Stoltzfus  
M.S. Wetland Science  
Certified Associate Wildlife Biologist, Certified Associate Ecologist  
Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc.  
30 South 17th Street, Suite 1500  
Philadelphia, PA 19103-4005  
Office: (215) 446-2996  
Email: mstoltzfus@langan.com

----- Original Message -----

From: "Phil Coleman" philipy@verizon.net  
To: <pabiodiv@webmail.upb.pitt.edu>  
Sent: Monday, March 10, 2003 10:48 AM  
Subject: Re: PABIODIV: ANF: more clearcutting, no significant road removal

Stan -- If you mean that clear cuts create another ecosystem (or set of ecosystems), then of course you are right. But we have clearcuts galore (especially if you are going to call every farm field and every clearing for a house a clear cut). What we don't have in Pennsylvania is forest that has not been cut.

Let me ask what you mean by "natural clearcutting." I don't know this term. If you mean that occasionally fires create forest openings, then of course, we know that in such a case "forest renewal" will take place. But even in this case it is a mistake to term this "nature's way to assure renewal." "Nature" does not say "I've got to clear some land to assure renewal." Let's quit talking as though nature had intentions.

You say that "I am not willing to give up my home nor am I willing to return farmland to forest. Nor am I willing to move to a city, shut down rural areas, in the name of biodiversity." Of course not. But are you willing to agree that since so much privately owned land has been converted from forest to field (and homes) what we have of public land should be managed as forest? Are you willing to agree that some portion of this public land should be managed in such a way that it can become old growth? By the way, when I built, I kept as much of my property in trees as possible. One benefit is that I don't air condition. You identify yourself as "service forester." Does this mean you are a forester for the USFS?

Phil Coleman  
551 Pittsburgh Road  
West Brownsville, PA 15417  
724-785-5887

From: "Hess, Stanley" sthess@state.pa.us  
Reply-To: kklemow@wilkes.edu  
Date: Mon, 10 Mar 2003 09:52:24 -0500 (EST)  
To: pabiodiv@webmail.upb.pitt.edu  
Subject: RE: PABIODIV: ANF: more clearcutting, no significant road removal

I disagree that clearcuts are detrimental to biodiversity. In the eastern hardwoods, natural clearcutting is nature's way to assure renewal of the forest. It's is time to realize what real problems are because we do have them. But scientific application of forestry is not one of them. Forestry may alter, but it doesn't destroy habitat.

I live in a clearcut. Farmland is a clearcut. There is no forest any more. I am not willing to give up my home nor am I willing to return farmland to forest. Nor am I willing to move to a city, shut down rural areas, in the name of biodiversity.

Stan Hess  
Service Forester for Potter and McKean Counties

-----Original Message-----

From: Dave Bonta bontasaurus@yahoo.com  
Sent: Friday, March 07, 2003 4:44 PM  
To: pabiodiv@webmail.upb.pitt.edu  
Subject: PABIODIV: ANF: more clearcutting, no significant road removal

Just passing this along FYI, with apologies for cross-listing. I'm sure the subscribers to this list don't need to be told how detrimental roads and clearcuts are to native forest biodiversity! If you don't agree with the ADP's analysis, that's fine -- but please do consider sending comments. Remember, this is YOUR property they're talking about.

--Dave Bonta

### ALLEGHENY WILD! ALERTS #3

Help Protect Allegheny Forests from Industrial Exploitation. Take the time now to act on the following important issues for Allegheny Forests.

- 1) Stop the County Line/Four Mile Timber Sale!!
  - \* Nearly 1,000 acres of clearcutting.
  - \* Logging in a Wilderness Trout Stream watershed.
  
- 2) Support Road Obliteration in the Allegheny National Forest
  - \* FS Roads Analysis Confirms Major Roads Problem in Allegheny.
  - \* Parts of the Allegheny have >12 miles of roads per square mile.
  - \* The FS continues to look the other way at oil/gas related roads.
  - \* The FS avoids call for large-scale road obliteration.

Send comments TODAY by e-mail. County Line Comments due Monday, March 10.

---

1) STOP THE COUNTY LINE/FOUR MILE TIMBER SALE

The U.S. Forest Service has proposed yet another massive timber sale for the Allegheny National Forest. The County Line/Four Mile Timber Sale would approved more than 1,200 acres of logging (the equivalent of two square miles) and 1,100 acres of herbicide applications in the County Line/Four Mile watersheds. The Allegheny was one of the heaviest logged eastern national forests in 2002.

The Four Mile Run watershed, where much of the project will take place, is a state designated Wilderness Trout Stream. The Forest Service is leaning towards adopting an alternative that would allow clearcutting on nearly 1,000 acres while refusing to give serious consideration to a restoration based alternative. Despite the fact thousands of tons of erosion would occur annually under the proposal, logging is being approved on 133 acres of poorly drained soils. The Forest Service acknowledges that if approved, the concentration of interfering ferns/grasses which are over-crowding forest understories would increase severely.

Send comments to Supervisor John Schultz at [jrschultz@fs.fed.us](mailto:jrschultz@fs.fed.us) or at Bradford Ranger District, Star Route 1, Box 88, Bradford, PA 16701.

---

## 2) SUPPORT ROAD OBLITERATION IN THE ALLEGHENY NATIONAL FOREST

The U.S. Forest Service just completed a mandated roads analysis of the Allegheny National Forest. According to that analysis:

- \* The Allegheny has an \$8 million backlog on road maintenance;
  - \* The Allegheny has more than 300 miles of roads where their risks exceed their benefits.
  - \* The Highland oil and gas development in the Allegheny is of a size and road density comparable to the city of Warren where the forest is headquartered. Road densities exceed 12 miles per square mile of road.
  - \* There are nearly 3,000 miles of roads on Allegheny National Forest lands with most of these dedicated to timber production and oil/gas drilling.
  - \* There are 30 unroaded areas within the Allegheny National Forest which are 500 acres or greater.
- The roads analysis process was adopted into law in 2000 with a primary emphasis on identifying roads for decommissioning. However, the Forest Service identified only 12 miles of roads where decommissioning was a priority. The U.S. Forest Service has failed to carry forward on its goal of reducing the strain that roads are causing on our national forests and road maintenance budgets. Send comments to Supervisor Kevin Elliott at [kbelliott@fs.fed.us](mailto:kbelliott@fs.fed.us) or at P.O. Box 847, Warren, PA 16365.

-----  
Jim Kleissler, Forest Watch Director  
Allegheny Defense Project  
P.O. Box 245  
Clarion, PA 16214  
(814) 223-4996  
(814) 223-4997 (fax)  
<http://www.alleghenydefense.org>

\* \* \* END INDUSTRIAL EXTRACTION ON PUBLIC LANDS! \* \* \*

Dave Bonta  
PO Box 68  
Tyrone, PA 16686  
814.684.3113

Check out our nifty Friends of Rothrock poster:  
<http://www.mccaughey.net/~sam/rothrock.html>